

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The reply filed on October 13<sup>th</sup>, 2009 has been received and entered.
2. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-11, 13 and 16 have been amended.
3. Claims 17-21 has been canceled.
4. Claims 1-16 now remain pending and are allowed herein.
5. Claim objection has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments to the claims.
6. The 35 USC 112 rejection has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments to the claims.
7. The 35 USC 101 rejection has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments to the claims.

### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments see Remarks, pages 7-8, filed October 13<sup>th</sup>, 2009, with respect to claims 1, 7 and 16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-16 have been withdrawn.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

9. Claims 1-16 are allowed.
10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
11. Applicant's arguments are persuasive as pointed out in the Remarks such as prior arts failed to disclose or suggest "a method of determining usability of a coded file

Art Unit: 2192

in an application, the method including: obtaining at least one property of the coded file, wherein the at least one property comprises properties other than an application type or file format including at least one of color depth, width of picture, height of picture and/or animation information; matching the property including at least one of color depth, width of picture, height of picture and/or animation against limitations of at least one application where the coded file could be used, wherein the application uses a certain application type of file but has limitations regarding the properties of the type of file; generating an indication indicating whether or not the coded file can be used in the application based on the matching; and associating the indication with the coded file for later enabling of a decision about use of the coded file in the application". The prior arts of record failed to disclose the above claimed features as recited in independent claims 1, 7 and 16.

12. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### ***Conclusion***

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh T. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 270-

Art Unit: 2192

1976. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 4:30PM / Monday-Thursday.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hanh T Bui/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2192  
December 28, 2009

/Tuan Q. Dam/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192